

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,684	03/17/2004	Hisanori Kawaura	250480US2	1875
	7590 03/28/200 AK, MCCLELLAND	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE STREET			TRAORE, FATOUMATA	
ALEXANDRIA	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2136	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/28/2008	EL ECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

13. Other: ____.

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/801,684	KAWAURA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
FATOUMATA TRAORE	2136		

	FATOUMATA TRAORE	2136					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 22 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance: (2) a Notice of Apj for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi peal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request				
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW.							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above; if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of							
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection. 			cause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further o		E below);					
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 							
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reig	cted claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	planation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.3-28 and 30-32</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered to Applicant argued that the applied prior art falls to teach message digest of a configuration file of the update proximiner respectfully disagrees and submits that Hind signature message being a hash or a message digest message being a hash or a message digest message) aslso see (column 12, lines 12-65);	or suggest performing authentication gram as recited in independent clair et al discloses such feature see (col- of the message being signed in order	on of an update progra ns 1, 12, 17 and 28. I umn 11, line 1-15 spe	am based on a However, the cifying that the				
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 	(PTO/SB/U8) Paper No(s)						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/Nasser G Moazzami/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2136 02/20/2008

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080321